

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	LICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,484	10/616,484 07/08/2003		Sean M. Carroll	317-1023CIP	9701	
23429	7590	09/03/2004		EXAMINER		
GREGORY	SMITH	& ASSOCIATES	SMITH, JAMES G			
3900 NEWP.	ARK MA	LL ROAD, 3RD FLO	OOR		2.222.422.22	
NEWARK, CA 94560				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3723		

DATE MAILED: 09/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			6						
		Applicatio		Applicant(s)		()			
	Office Action Summary	10/616,48	4	CARROLL, SEAN	M.				
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
<u></u>	The MAILING DATE of this communication	James G.		3723	dross				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the	COVER SNEET WITH THE C	orresponaence aa	uress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatio period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no eve on. a reply within the statu period will apply and wil statute, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be tim tory minimum of thirty (30) day Lexpire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
2a) <u> </u>	•	This action is no	on-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicated 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from cor							
Applicati	on Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Exa The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the	e: a)⊠ accepted o the drawing(s) be orrection is require	e held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Butter the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have beer ments have beer priority docume ureau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage				
Attachmen			_						
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/19/04</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		)-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/616,484 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 3-5 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no disclosure for one of the many drivers, such as those recited in claims 5 and 19, having any ridges. The drivers are disclosed as engaging a drive adapter (20).
- 3. Normally a claim which fails to comply with the first and/or second paragraph of § 112 will not be analyzed as to whether it is patentable over the prior art since to do so would of necessity require speculation with regard to the metes and bounds of the claimed subject matter, **In re Steele**, 308 F.2d 859, 862-63, 134 USPQ 292, (CCPA 1962) and **In re Wilson**, 424 F.2d 1382, 1385, 496 USPQ 494, 496 (CCPA 1970).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,484 Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

5. Claims 1, 6, 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by McNeeley which shows a series of elements with the first element having a small diameter opening 15 and a second opening with ridges that is larger to receive a second set of elements 11a-f functioning as an extension with a larger opening and a drive adapter 12 that is attachable to any well known driver.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2 and 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNeeley.

McNeeley shows the claimed invention except for the use of ridges on an outside surface of the socket and corresponding ridges on an inner surface of the extensions instead of the reverse construction. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify McNeeley by using either construction as one is merely the reverse of the other with the same end function <u>because</u> merely reversing which element has the internal ridges and which has the external ridges involves design and the function of both constructions is the same and therefore equivalent.

8. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McNeeley in view of Hardin and Rohm.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,484 Page 4

Art Unit: 3723

McNeeley shows the claimed invention except for the use of a threaded rod and a chuck in a "kit". Hardin suggests that a threaded rod with ridges for attachment to some type of driver is well known. Rohm suggests that a standard chuck with ridges for attachment to some type of driver is well known. It would therefore be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify McNeeley by using a well known threaded rod and chuck in a "kit" because both Hardin and Rohm suggest the use of such a devices and to place any well known devices in a "kit" for the purpose of allowing the user better access to a variety of tools.

- 9. The remaining references are cited only as of interest.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 703-308-1746. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 703-308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 5

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 9/1/04